

**From:** "Little, Brendan" <BLittle@levylaw.com>

**Date:** November 9, 2017 at 6:03:50 PM EST

**To:** "'Assaf, Eugene F.'" <eassaf@kirkland.com>

**Cc:** "'Anguas, Ronald K.'" <ronald.anguas@kirkland.com>, "'cplacitella@cprlaw.com'" <cplacitella@cprlaw.com>, "'hroth@cprlaw.com'" <hroth@cprlaw.com>, "'mcoren@cprlaw.com'" <mcoren@cprlaw.com>, "'jmplacitella@cprlaw.com'" <jmplacitella@cprlaw.com>, "'Williams, Michael F.'" <mwilliams@kirkland.com>, "'Bress, Daniel A.'" <dbress@kirkland.com>, 'Justin Quinn' <JQuinn@rwmlegal.com>, "'jvilla@wc.com'" <jvilla@wc.com>, "'ggeyeraman@wc.com'" <ggeyeraman@wc.com>, "'etunis@heroldlaw.com'" <etunis@heroldlaw.com>, "'kmarino@khmarino.com'" <kmarino@khmarino.com>, "'jboyle@khmarino.com'" <jboyle@khmarino.com>, "'rryan@connellfoley.com'" <rryan@connellfoley.com>, "'dblatt@wc.com'" <dblatt@wc.com>

**Subject:** RE: Williams, et al. v. BASF Catalysts LLC, et al.

Corrected.

**From:** Little, Brendan

**Sent:** Thursday, November 09, 2017 6:01 PM

**To:** 'Assaf, Eugene F.'

**Cc:** Anguas, Ronald K.; [cplacitella@cprlaw.com](mailto:cplacitella@cprlaw.com); [hroth@cprlaw.com](mailto:hroth@cprlaw.com); [mcoren@cprlaw.com](mailto:mcoren@cprlaw.com); [jmplacitella@cprlaw.com](mailto:jmplacitella@cprlaw.com); Williams, Michael F.; Bress, Daniel A.; Justin Quinn; [jvilla@wc.com](mailto:jvilla@wc.com); [ggeyeraman@wc.com](mailto:ggeyeraman@wc.com); [etunis@heroldlaw.com](mailto:etunis@heroldlaw.com); [kmarino@khmarino.com](mailto:kmarino@khmarino.com); [jboyle@khmarino.com](mailto:jboyle@khmarino.com); [rryan@connellfoley.com](mailto:rryan@connellfoley.com); [dblatt@wc.com](mailto:dblatt@wc.com)

**Subject:** RE: Williams, et al. v. BASF Catalysts LLC, et al.

Mr. Assaf:

I understand your frustration, but it is due, in part, to BASF's unwillingness to narrow its requests to documents that are not privileged, work-product, or extremely burdensome to log/produce. BASF could have covered the costs associated with your requests, but choose not to do so. We submitted our disputes to the Court and the Court issued an order. That order required Mr. Placitella to review and produce my clients' files and I do not understand your confusion regarding our respective roles and obligations.

In response to your question about the database, it not responsive in its entirety and will be logged as privileged and/or work-product material. However, we are open to further discussion about the production of a report from the database under the appropriate non-waiver and confidentiality protections. For now, the Court ordered the production of a specific document set, which I understand Chris is working to produce. It does not make sense to disrupt this process, which I expect will generate additional guidance.

The remaining Early documents, stored in paper format, are in the process of being copied and sent to Mr. Placitella. The attorney with knowledge of these matters was out of town. One of the files needed to be located and ordered from archives, while another is an active file, which requires copying before it can be sent. Please coordinate with Chris on the timeline, as well as any other questions about the production ordered by the Special Master.

I am not available for a deposition next week, nor are we able to waive the requirements under FRCP 45 and 30 for non-party depositions. The week after Thanksgiving may work. We will need to check with the other parties as well before scheduling anything.

Regards,

Brendan

--

Brendan E. Little  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl., New York, NY 10022  
Phone: (212) 605-6282; Fax: (212) 605-6290

**From:** Assaf, Eugene F. [<mailto:eassaf@kirkland.com>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 08, 2017 9:27 PM

**To:** Little, Brendan

**Cc:** Anguas, Ronald K.; [cplacitella@cpirlaw.com](mailto:cplacitella@cpirlaw.com); [hroth@cpirlaw.com](mailto:hroth@cpirlaw.com); [mcoren@cpirlaw.com](mailto:mcoren@cpirlaw.com); [jmplacitella@cpirlaw.com](mailto:jmplacitella@cpirlaw.com); Williams, Michael F.; Bress, Daniel A.; Justin Quinn; [jvilla@wc.com](mailto:jvilla@wc.com); [ggeyeraman@wc.com](mailto:ggeyeraman@wc.com); [etunis@heroldlaw.com](mailto:etunis@heroldlaw.com); [kmarino@khmarino.com](mailto:kmarino@khmarino.com); [jboyle@khmarino.com](mailto:jboyle@khmarino.com); [rryan@connellfoley.com](mailto:rryan@connellfoley.com); [dblatt@wc.com](mailto:dblatt@wc.com)

**Subject:** Re: Williams, et al. v. BASF Catalysts LLC, et al.

As corrected.

Dear Mr. Little:

Please answer the following questions by COB on Thursday, Nov. 9th. We are starting to get the feeling that you say Mr. Placitella has the answers, and he says you have the answers. We may need the Court to help us, but I will give it one more try.

1. What, if anything, do you disagree with in the assertions in Mr. Placitella's letter of earlier, November 8th?
2. Do you agree to produce the Bevan database referenced by Mr. Placitella?
3. During the argument to Justice Rivera-Soto, did you understand that all ("with limited exception") of the Bevan documents were in electronic form?

4. Will you agree to produce a record custodian for the Bevan firm for a deposition to address how documents are stored, retained and preserved during the week of November 14?

5. Will you produce any written agreement between Bevan and Placitella?

6. Where are the Early documents that the Court ordered you to produce by November 2nd?

7. Where are the emails related to the Class Representatives? Are you saying that Mr. Placitella is the person who should answer for this?

While it appears that you are displeased with having questions posed, I can tell you that we are displeased with how the production of the Bevan documents has unfolded over the last 12 months, and how the Court and BASF "misunderstood" Bevan's positions.

You should also understand from the Court's comments that time is of the essence.

Best regards,

Gene Assaf

On Nov 8, 2017, at 8:58 PM, Assaf, Eugene F. <[eassaf@kirkland.com](mailto:eassaf@kirkland.com)> wrote:

Dear Mr. Little:

Please answer the following questions by COB on Thursday, Nov. 9th. We are stating to get the feeling that you say Mr. Placitella has the answers, and he says you have the answers. We may need the Court to help us, but I will give it one more try.

1. What, if anything, do you disagree with in the assertions in Mr. Placitella's letter of earlier, November 8th?
2. Do you agree to produce the Bevan database referenced by Mr. Placitella?
3. During the argument to Justice Rivera-Soto, did you understand that all ("with limited exception") of the Bevan documents were in electronic form?
4. Will you agree to produce a record custodian for the Bevan firm for a deposition to address how documents are stored, retained and preserved during the week of November 14?
5. Will you produce any written agreement between Bevan and Placitella?
6. Where are the Early documents that the Court ordered you to produce by November 2nd?
7. Where are the emails related to the Class Representatives? Are you saying that Mr. Placitella is the person who should answer for this?

While it appears that you are displeased with having questions posed, I can tell you that we are displeased with how production of the Bevan documents have unfolded over the last 12 months, and how the Court and BASF "misunderstood" Bevan's positions.

You should also understand from the Court's comments that time is of the essence.

Best regards,

Gene Assaf

On Nov 8, 2017, at 8:25 PM, Little, Brendan <[BLittle@levylaw.com](mailto:BLittle@levylaw.com)> wrote:

Mr. Assaf:

Thank you for your patience. Let me first respond to your first two letters, sent on Monday and Tuesday of this week.

Your complaints are unfounded. At no point in the meet and confer process, pleadings, or hearing did I represent or imply that the Bevan firm's case files were stored in paper as opposed to PDF image files. Our brief clearly said electronic and paper. These representations remain accurate.

I also cannot see how your incorrect assumption is material. First, our burden analysis focused on the amount of attorney-review time that would be needed to respond to your subpoena. We never discussed how the documents were stored. Nor was I able to comment on this topic at the hearing. I will concede that our burden estimate simply extrapolated the per-file review time based on Plaintiffs' counsel's review of the representative plaintiffs, and that economies of scale could be realized by leveraging document review technology and vendors. But I think you would also agree that attorney-review time is the biggest cost in an ESI review and whether the project starts from paper or scanned, non-OCR'd images makes little difference. Second, it was clear that the court was not able to consider our representations regarding burden in its ruling and denied without prejudice our request for costs. Last, Mr. Placitella can randomly select electronic case files just as effectively as paper files. Special Master Rivera-Soto seemed to agree that Mr. Placitella would be able to handle the selection without logistical guidance.

Your letters are not the proper method for seeking discovery from a non-party. If and when we raise future requests for costs or burden objections, you can rest assured that the record will include evidence and details about the type and volume of potentially responsive documents held by the Bevan firm. In the meantime, the court has ruled on your motion and the questions contained in your letters are moot or properly addressed through a deposition, as you suggested.

I am happy to work with you regarding scheduling any deposition. However, I need to be in the office next week and I have a trip scheduled Nov. 21-26. If you can suggest some days that work for your team, I will see what works for the Bevan firm. I would also reiterate my suggestion that it will be more efficient to conduct depositions after you've received the documents Mr. Placitella is producing pursuant to the recent court orders. If this will be a 30(b)(6) deposition, we will need to know the examination topics before the representative(s) and their schedules can be identified and considered.

Your letter from earlier this evening, the third this week, complains that documents from the representative plaintiffs are late or incomplete. The Special Master's order directed plaintiffs' counsel, not my clients, to review and produce documents. This decision was designed, in part, to avoid undue burden on the non-parties. I intend to respect this design and will not respond to your letter. If Mr. Placitella needs additional access or assistance, he can reach out and we will do our best to accommodate his efforts.

Your letter from a few minutes ago, the fourth this week, restates your earlier letters and propounds additional questions, which I've addressed above. It also requests that we waive the Rule 45 subpoena

requirements for what I assume is the 30(b)(6) non-party deposition of the Bevan firm. I will need to confer with my client on this request, and will respond as soon as I am able.

Regards,

Brendan

--

Brendan E. Little

Levy Konigsberg LLP

800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl., New York, NY 10022

Phone: (212) 605-6282; Fax: (212) 605-6290

**From:** Assaf, Eugene F. [<mailto:eassaf@kirkland.com>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, November 08, 2017 10:34 AM

**To:** Little, Brendan

**Cc:** Anguas, Ronald K.; [cplacitella@cpirlaw.com](mailto:cplacitella@cpirlaw.com); [hroth@cpirlaw.com](mailto:hroth@cpirlaw.com); [mcoren@cpirlaw.com](mailto:mcoren@cpirlaw.com); [jmplacitella@cpirlaw.com](mailto:jmplacitella@cpirlaw.com); Williams, Michael F.; Bress, Daniel A.; Justin Quinn; [jvilla@wc.com](mailto:jvilla@wc.com); [ggeyeraman@wc.com](mailto:ggeyeraman@wc.com); [etunis@heroldlaw.com](mailto:etunis@heroldlaw.com); [kmarino@khmarino.com](mailto:kmarino@khmarino.com); [jboyle@khmarino.com](mailto:jboyle@khmarino.com); [rryan@connellfoley.com](mailto:rryan@connellfoley.com); [dblatt@wc.com](mailto:dblatt@wc.com)

**Subject:** Re: Williams, et al. v. BASF Catalysts LLC, et al.

Mr. Little:

I would appreciate the courtesy of a response.

Best,

Gene Assaf

Sent from my iPad

On Nov 7, 2017, at 2:47 PM, Assaf, Eugene F. <[eassaf@kirkland.com](mailto:eassaf@kirkland.com)> wrote:

Mr. Little:

I don't understand your statement "please let me know when your list of questions is complete." You have had these questions since Monday, November 4th, and have known about these issues since at least last week when Mr. Placitella disclosed the new information. So, please do not delay in getting us responses, and please forward the information on a rolling basis as it becomes available.

It is difficult to understand why you don't know the answers to the questions posed. Given that you were telling the Court that it should accept your representations in your brief and at argument without the need for an affidavit or certification, one would think you should have the information readily available.

We would ask that you tell us today about the electronic database and whether you agree to produce a document custodian for a deposition the week of November 13. Hopefully the rest of the responses can be provided in writing tomorrow.

Best,

Gene Assaf

On Nov 7, 2017, at 2:35 PM, Little, Brendan <[BLittle@levylaw.com](mailto:BLittle@levylaw.com)> wrote:

Mr. Assaf,

I received your correspondence. Please let me know when your list of questions is complete and I will have a better sense of the time needed to respond. Thanks.

Brendan

--

Brendan E. Little  
Levy Konigsberg LLP  
800 Third Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Fl., New York, NY 10022  
Phone: (212) 605-6282; Fax: (212) 605-6290

**From:** Anguas, Ronald K. [<mailto:ronald.anguas@kirkland.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 07, 2017 1:53 PM  
**To:** [cplacitella@cpirlaw.com](mailto:cplacitella@cpirlaw.com); Little, Brendan  
**Cc:** [hroth@cpirlaw.com](mailto:hroth@cpirlaw.com); [mcoren@cpirlaw.com](mailto:mcoren@cpirlaw.com); [jmplacitella@cpirlaw.com](mailto:jmplacitella@cpirlaw.com); Assaf, Eugene F.; Williams, Michael F.; Bress, Daniel A.; Justin Quinn; [jvilla@wc.com](mailto:jvilla@wc.com); [ggeyerma@wc.com](mailto:ggeyerma@wc.com); [etunis@heroldlaw.com](mailto:etunis@heroldlaw.com); [kmarino@khmarino.com](mailto:kmarino@khmarino.com); [jboyle@khmarino.com](mailto:jboyle@khmarino.com); [rryan@connellfoley.com](mailto:rryan@connellfoley.com); [dblatt@wc.com](mailto:dblatt@wc.com)  
**Subject:** Williams, et al. v. BASF Catalysts LLC, et al.

Counsel:

Please see the attached correspondence from Gene Assaf to Mr. Placitella and Mr. Little.

Best,

Ronald Anguas

**Ronald K. Anguas, Jr.**

---

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005  
T +1 202 879 5091

---

[ronald.anguas@kirkland.com](mailto:ronald.anguas@kirkland.com)

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return email or by email to [postmaster@kirkland.com](mailto:postmaster@kirkland.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return email or by email to [postmaster@kirkland.com](mailto:postmaster@kirkland.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return email or by email to [postmaster@kirkland.com](mailto:postmaster@kirkland.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.